

**SOUTH AREA COMMITTEE MEETING – 18<sup>th</sup> May 2011**

**Pre-Committee Amendment Sheet**

**PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: 11/0242/FUL

Location: **37 Monkswell, Cambridge**

Target Date: 18.05.2011

To Note: Nothing

Amendments To Text: None

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None

**DECISION:**

---

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: 11/0262/FUL

Location: **65 Cavendish Avenue, Cambridge**

Target Date: 04.05.2011

To Note: An email has been received from the neighbour at 67, who had previously not raised objection to the proposal, seeking clarification about the degree of loss of light. The same email makes the point that the existing projection of 65 behind 67 is 1.3 metres, not the 1.8m stated in the Committee report. An officer has spoken to the agent who drew the plans (where 1.8 is the illustrated extend of the projection) and it is understood that in preparing the plans an earlier drawing was used, so it may be an inherited problem. An attempt will be made to double-check this prior to the meeting when a further oral report will be made.

A request has been received from the applicant that the material submitted with the application be circulated with the amendment sheet. That is not the practice of the authority as all the information is available on line. The photographs of other extensions in the locality will be displayed at the meeting. It has also been pointed out that the dimension given at page 19, paragraph 8.5, line 7 is incorrect. The 5.90 metres stated there should be 5.0 metres.

Amendments To Text: In the light of the advice above, about the extent to which 65 projects behind 67, other dimensions in page 19 paragraph 8.5 may also be incorrect. If, when a further site visit has been done, it is clear that the figures given are inaccurate and further changes to the text are necessary, an additional note will be circulated at Committee.

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: None.

Although the change in the distance by which 65 projects behind 67 will clearly have a bearing on the degree of enclosure and loss of light I remain of the view that the proposal is overbearing in its relationship with 67

**DECISION:**

---

CIRCULATION: First

ITEM: APPLICATION REF: 10/0535/FUL

Location: **1 Mowbray Road**

Target Date: 02.09.2010

To Note: Nothing

Amendments To Text: None

Pre-Committee Amendments to Recommendation: an additional condition to ensure there is adequate cycle parking provision.

5. The additional bed and breakfast rooms shall not be brought into use until a scheme for the provision of 2 secure, covered, on-site cycle parking spaces has been submitted to, and approved in writing by, the local planning authority. The approved scheme shall be implemented before occupation of the additional rooms, and shall be maintained thereafter.

Reason: To ensure appropriate cycle parking is provided to standard (Cambridge Local Plan 2006 policy 8/6)

**DECISION:**